arXiv:math/0112054v3 [math.QA] 14 Feb 2002

Uniform product of $A_{g,n}(V)$ for an orbifold model V and G-twisted Zhu algebra

Masahiko Miyamoto *
and
Kenichiro Tanabe

Institute of Mathematics
University of Tsukuba

February 12, 2002

Abstract

Let V be a vertex operator algebra and G a finite automorphism group of V. For each $g \in G$ and nonnegative rational number $n \in \mathbb{Z}/|g|$, a g-twisted Zhu algebra $A_{g,n}(V)$ plays an important role in the theory of vertex operator algebras, but the given product in $A_{g,n}(V)$ depends on the eigenspaces of g. We show that there is a uniform definition of products on V and we introduce a G-twisted Zhu algebra $A_{G,n}(V)$ which covers all g-twisted Zhu algebras.

Let V be simple and let $\mathcal{S}$ be a finite set of inequivalent irreducible twisted V-modules which is closed under the action of G. There is a finite dimensional semisimple associative algebra $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$ for a suitable 2-cocycle naturally determined by the G-action on $\mathcal{S}$. We show that a duality theorem of Schur-Weyl type holds for the actions of $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$ and V^G on the direct sum of twisted V-modules in $\mathcal{S}$ as an application of the theory of $A_{G,n}(V)$. It follows as a natural consequence of the result that for any $g \in G$ every irreducible g-twisted V-module is a completely reducible V^G-module.

1 Introduction

Let V be a vertex operator algebra (cf. [1],[8],[9]) and G a finite automorphism group of V order T. For an investigation of V-modules, a Zhu algebra $A(V) = V/O(V)$ (or its extension $A_n(V) = V/O_n(V)$), which was introduced in [11] and [4], plays an important

---

[*] Supported by the Grants-in-Aids for Scientific Research, No. 13440002 and No. 12874001, The Ministry of Education, Science and Culture, Japan.

role. For a g-twisted V-module, a g-twisted Zhu algebra $A_{g,n}(V) = V/O_{g,n}(V)$ was introduced in [5] and plays a similar role, where nonnegative $n \in \mathbb{Z}/T$. However, the definition of product $u *_{g,n} v$ in $A_{g,n}(V)$ depends on the choice of eigenspaces of g containing u. Namely, for $g \in G$, V decomposes into the direct sum of eigenspaces $V = \oplus_{r=0}^{T-1} V^{(g,r)}$, where $V^{(g,r)}$ is the eigenspace of g with eigenvalue $e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}r/T}$. If $u \in V^{(g,0)}$, then

$$u *_{g,n} v \equiv \sum_{m=0}^{l} (-1)^m \binom{m+h}{l} \mathrm{Res}_z Y(u,z) v \frac{(1+z)^{\mathrm{wt}(u)+l}}{z^{l+m+1}} \pmod{O_{g,n}(V)}$$

and if $u \in V^{(g,r)}$ $(r \neq 0)$, then $u *_{g,n} v \equiv 0 \pmod{O_{g,n}(V)}$, where $n = l + i/T$ with $0 \leq i \leq T-1$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. One of the purposes in this paper is to show that for $u, v \in V$, there is a unified form $*_n$ of products which does only depend on the weights of u and v such that

$$u *_n v \equiv u *_{g,n} v \pmod{O_{g,n}(V)}$$

for any $g \in G$. Using this product, a natural map

$$\phi_n : V \longrightarrow \oplus_{g \in G} A_{g,n}(V)$$

given by $\phi_n(u) = (u, u, \cdots, u)$ becomes an algebra homomorphism:

$$\phi_n(u *_n v) = (u *_{g,n} v + O_{g,n}(V))_{g \in G}.$$

Set $A_{G,n}(V) = V / \cap_{g \in G} O_{g,n}(V)$. We will call $(A_{G,n}(V), *_n)$ a G-twisted Zhu algebra. We will show that if V is simple, then $A_{G,n}(V) \simeq \oplus_{g \in G} A_{g,n}(V)$ as algebras.

We will apply the theory of $A_{G,n}(V)$ to a problem of the representation theory of V^G. One of the important problems in the orbifold conformal field theory is to determine the V^G-modules. In particular, it is one of the main conjectures that if V is rational, then V^G is rational, that is, every V^G-module is completely reducible (cf. [2]). For a simple VOA V, it is shown in [7] that every irreducible V-module is a completely reducible V^G-module as a natural consequence of a duality theorem of Schur-Weyl type. Another important category is a twisted module (cf. [3]). Namely, for any $g \in G$, any g-twisted module is also a V^G-module. The same results as those in the untwisted case are shown in [10] for irreducible g-twisted V-modules when g is in the center of G.

In this paper we extend their results to any $g \in G$ and any irreducible g-twisted V-module. Let's state our results more explicitly. There is a natural right G-action on the set of all inequivalent irreducible twisted V-modules. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a finite set of inequivalent irreducible twisted V-modules which is closed under the action of G. We define a finite dimensional semisimple associative algebra $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$ over $\mathbb{C}$ associated to G, $\mathcal{S}$ and a suitable 2-cocycle α naturally determined by the G-action on $\mathcal{S}$. The algebra $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$ is constructed in more general setting in [7] and is called a generalized twisted double. We show a duality theorem of Schur-Weyl type for the actions of V^G and $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$ on the direct sum of twisted V-modules in $\mathcal{S}$ which is denoted by $\mathcal{M}$. That is, each simple

$\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})$ occurs in $\mathcal{M}$ and its multiplicity space is an irreducible V^G-module. Moreover, the different multiplicity spaces are inequivalent V^G-modules. It follows as a natural consequence of the result that for any $g\in G$ every irreducible g-twisted V-module is a completely reducible V^G-module. The theory of $A_{G,n}(V)$ allows us to reduce an infinite dimensional problem to a finite dimensional one.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we first review the Zhu algebras of a vertex operator algebra. We introduce $(A_{G,n}(V),*_n)$ and study their properties. In Section 3, we define a finite dimensional semisimple associative algebra $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})$ over $\mathbb{C}$ and show a duality theorem of Schur-Weyl type as an application of the theory of $A_{G,n}(V)$. In Section 4, we compute the determinant of a matrix used in Section 2.

2 Existence of unified form of product and G-twisted Zhu algebras

We fix some notation which will be in force throughout the paper. V is a vertex operator algebra and G is a finite automorphism group of V of order T. For $g\in G$, set $V^{(g,r)}=\{u\in V|\ gu=e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}r/T}u\}$ for $0\le r\le T-1$. For $u\in V$ we denote by $u^{(g,r)}$ the r-th component of u in the decomposition $V=\oplus_{r=0}^{T-1}V^{(g,r)}$, that is, $u=\sum_{r=0}^{T-1}u^{(g,r)}$, $u^{(g,r)}\in V^{(g,r)}$ $(0\le r\le T-1)$.

In this section, our main purpose is to show that there is a unified product form $u*_n v$ which depends only on the weights of u and v. We introduce an associative algebra $(A_{G,n}(V),*_n)$ of V associated to G and nonnegative $n\in\mathbb{Z}/T$ and study their properties.

We first recall the Zhu algebra $A_{g,n}(V)$ of V associated with $g\in G$ and nonnegative $n\in\mathbb{Z}/T$ introduced in [5]. This algebra was first introduced in [11] for the case when g is the identity element and $n=0$. Fix nonnegative $n=l+i/T\in\mathbb{Z}/T$ with l a nonnegative integer and $0\le i\le T-1$.

For $0\le r\le T-1$ we define $\delta_i(r)=1$ if $i\ge r$ and $\delta_i(r)=0$ if $i<r$. We also set $\delta_i(T)=1$. Let $g\in G$. Let $O_{g,n}(V)$ be the linear span of all $u\circ_{g,n}v$ and $(L(-1)+L(0))v$ where for homogeneous $u\in V$ and $v\in V$, $u\circ_{g,n}v$ denotes

$$\sum_{r=0}^{T-1}\mathrm{Res}_z Y(u^{(g,r)},z)v\frac{(1+z)^{\mathrm{wt}(u)-1+\delta_i(r)+l+r/T}}{z^{2l+\delta_i(r)+\delta_i(T-r)}}.$$

Define the linear space $A_{g,n}(V)$ to be the quotient $V/O_{g,n}(V)$. We also define a second product $*_{g,n}$ on V for homogeneous $u\in V$ and $v\in V$ by

$$\begin{aligned}u*_{g,n}v &= \sum_{m=0}^{l}(-1)^m\binom{m+l}{l}\mathrm{Res}_z Y(u^{(g,0)},z)v\frac{(1+z)^{\mathrm{wt}(u)+l}}{z^{l+m+1}}\\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{l-j}\binom{2l-j}{l}\binom{\mathrm{wt}(u)+l}{k-j}u^{(g,0)}_{-2l-1+k}v.\end{aligned}$$

Extend this linearly to obtain a bilinear product on V. Note that if $i = j + kT/|g|$ where $0 \leq j \leq T/|g| - 1$ and $0 \leq k \leq |g| - 1$, then $A_{g,n}(V) = A_{g,kT/|g|}(V)$. We recall the following properties of $O_{g,n}(V)$ and $A_{g,n}(V)$.

Lemma 1 ([5], Lemma 2.1, Lemma 2.2, Theorem 2.4, and Proposition 2.5)

(1) $\oplus_{r=1}^{T-1} V^{(g,r)} \subset O_{g,n}(V)$.

(2) For homogeneous $u \in V$, $v \in V$ *and integers* $0 \leq k \leq m$,

$$\sum_{r=0}^{T-1} \mathrm{Res}_z Y(u^{(g,r)}, z) v \frac{(1+z)^{wt(u)-1+\delta_i(r)+l+r/T+k}}{z^{2l+\delta_i(r)+\delta_i(T-r)+m}} \in O_{g,n}(V).$$

(3) $(A_{g,n}(V), *_{g,n})$ *is an associative algebra with the identity element.*

(4) The identity map on V *induces an onto algebra homomorphism from* $A_{g,n}(V)$ *to* $A_{g,n-1/T}(V)$.

Set $A_{G,n}(V) = V/\cap_{g\in G} O_{g,n}(V)$. We state our main result in this section.

Theorem 1 *(1) For* $u, v \in V$, *there exists a unified product* $u *_n v$ *for* $A_{g,n}(V)$ *for any* $g \in G$. *That is,*

$$u *_n v \equiv u *_{g,n} v \pmod{O_{g,n}(V)}$$

for any $g \in G$. *In particular,* $(A_{G,n}(V), *_n)$ *is an associative algebra. Moreover, if* V *is simple, then the injective map* $\varphi_n : A_{G,n}(V) \to \oplus_{g\in G} A_{g,n}(V)$ *defined by* $\varphi_n(u + \cap_{g\in G} O_{g,n}(V)) = \sum_{g\in G}(u + O_{g,n}(V))$ *for* $u \in V$ *is an onto algebra homomorphism. That is,* $A_{G,n}(V) \simeq \oplus_{g\in G} A_{g,n}(V)$ *as algebras.*

(2) The identity map on V *induces an onto algebra homomorphism from* $A_{G,n}(V)$ *to* $A_{G,n-1/T}(V)$.

Remark. We will construct $u *_n v$ as a linear combination of $\{u_j v \mid j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ in the proof of Theorem 1: $u *_n v = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \gamma_j u_j v$ where $\gamma_j \in \mathbb{C}$ $(j \in \mathbb{Z})$ are all zero except finite numbers. Then the set $\{\gamma_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$ depends only on n and the weights of u and v.

We will call $A_{G,n}(V)$ a G-twisted Zhu algebra. We need some lemmas in order to show Theorem 1. Let $u, v \in V$ and fix $M \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $M \geq \mathrm{wt}(u) + \mathrm{wt}(v) - 1$ in the next three lemmas. Note that $u_j^{(g,r)} v = 0$ for all $g \in G, 0 \leq r \leq T-1$, and $j > M$. Set $Q_r = \mathrm{wt}(u) - 1 + \delta_i(r) + l + r/T$ for $0 \leq r \leq T-1$.

Lemma 2 *For* $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ *with* $-2l - 1 \geq q$ *and* $m = 1, 2, \ldots$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} u_{q-m} v \equiv & \sum_{k=0}^{Q_0-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{Q_0}{j} \binom{-Q_0}{k+m-j} u_{q+k}^{(g,0)} v \\ & + \sum_{r=1}^{T-1} \sum_{k=0}^{M-q} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{Q_r}{j} \binom{-Q_r}{k+m-j} u_{q+k}^{(g,r)} v, \quad (\mathrm{mod}\ O_{g,n}(V)), \end{aligned}$$

for any $g \in G$.

Proof. Let $g \in G$. We may assume that u is homogeneous and $u \in V^{(g,r)}$ for $r \in \{0, \dots, T-1\}$. Since $2 \geq \delta_i(r) - \delta_i(T-r)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} O_{g,n}(V) &\ni \mathrm{Res}_z Y(u,z)v \frac{(1+z)^{Q_r}}{z^{2l+2+m}} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{Q_r}{j} u_{-2l-2-m+j} v. \end{aligned}$$

for any nonnegative integer m from Lemma 1 (2). Let $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $q \leq -2l-1$. Since $q \leq -2l-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} O_{g,n}(V) &\ni \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{Q_r}{j} u_{q-m+j} v \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{Q_r}{j} u_{q-m+j} v + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{Q_r}{m+k} u_{q+k} v \end{aligned}$$

for any positive integer m. Namely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_{q-1}v &\equiv -\tbinom{Q_r}{1} u_q v - \tbinom{Q_r}{2} u_{q+1} v - \cdots, \\ u_{q-2}v + \tbinom{Q_r}{1} u_{q-1} v &\equiv -\tbinom{Q_r}{2} u_q v - \tbinom{Q_r}{3} u_{q+1} v - \cdots, \\ u_{q-3}v + \tbinom{Q_r}{1} u_{q-2} v + \tbinom{Q_r}{2} u_{q-1} v &\equiv -\tbinom{Q_r}{3} u_q v - \tbinom{Q_r}{4} u_{q+1} v - \cdots, \\ \vdots \qquad\qquad & \qquad\qquad\qquad \vdots \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

module $O_{g,n}(V)$. Solving these congruent equations, $u_{q-m}v$ is congruent to a linear combination of $\{u_q v, u_{q+1} v, \dots, u_M v\}$ modulo $O_{g,n}(V)$ for any positive integer m. If $r = 0$, then $Q_r = \mathrm{wt}(u) + l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and so $u_{q-m}v$ is congruent to a linear combination of $\{u_q v, u_{q+1} v, \dots, u_{q+Q_0-1} v\}$.

Replacing $u_j v$ by x^j, we will investigate the coefficients by viewing the above equations as a Lorentz series. Set

$$f_r(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{Q_r}{j} x^j. \tag{2.2}$$

We note that if $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_j x^j \in \mathbb{C}[x^{-1}] x^{-2l-2} f_r(x)$, then $\sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \alpha_j u_j v \in O_{g,n}(V)$ and $f_r(x)$ depends only on $N = \mathrm{wt}(u)$, r and $n = l + i/T$. Set $O^r(N : x) = \mathbb{C}[x^{-1}] x^{-2l-2} f_r(x) \subset \mathbb{C}[x^{-1}][[x]]$.

Let m be a positive integer. In order to express $u_{q-m}v$ by a linear combination of $\{u_q v, u_{q+1} v, \dots, u_M v\}$ modulo $O_{g,n}(V)$, we first write $1/f_r(x)$ as a sum

$$\frac{1}{f_r(x)} = (f_r(x)^{-1})_{<m} + (f_r(x)^{-1})_{\geq m},$$

where $(f_r(x)^{-1})_{<m}$ is the part whose terms have degree less than m and $(f_r(x)^{-1})_{\geq m}$ is the part whose terms have degree greater than or equal to m. In particular, we have

$(f_r(x)^{-1})_{\geq m} \in x^m\mathbb{C}[[x]]$. Since $(x^{q-1}f_r(x))(f_r(x)^{-1})_{<m}/x^{m-1} \equiv 0 \pmod{O^r(N : x)}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
x^{q-m} &\equiv x^{q-m} - x^{q-1}f_r(x)\frac{(f_r(x)^{-1})_{<m}}{x^{m-1}} \\
&\equiv x^{q-m} - x^{q-1}f_r(x)\frac{f_r(x)^{-1} - (f_r(x)^{-1})_{\geq m}}{x^{m-1}} \\
&\equiv x^{q-m}f_r(x)(f_r(x)^{-1})_{\geq m} \\
&\equiv x^{q-m}(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\binom{Q_r}{j}x^j)(\sum_{k=m}^{\infty}\binom{-Q_r}{k}x^k) \\
&\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{k}\binom{Q_r}{j}\binom{-Q_r}{k+m-j}x^{q+k} \pmod{O^r(N : x)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
u_{q-m}v &\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{j=0}^{k}\binom{Q_r}{j}\binom{-Q_r}{k+m-j}u_{q+k}v \\
&\equiv \sum_{k=0}^{M-q}\sum_{j=0}^{k}\binom{Q_r}{j}\binom{-Q_r}{k+m-j}u_{q+k}v \pmod{O_{g,n}(V)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3 *For $r \in \{0, \ldots, T-1\}$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists $F^{r,p}(u, v, n) \in V$ such that*

$$F^{r,p}(u, v, n) \equiv u_p^{(g,r)}v \pmod{O_{g,n}(V)} \tag{2.3}$$

for any $g \in G$.

Proof. We may assume $p \leq M$. Define a set

$$S = \left\{ (s, k) \;\middle|\; \begin{array}{lll} s = 0, 1, \ldots, T-1 & & \\ k = 0, \ldots, Q_0 - 1 & \text{for } s = 0 & \text{and} \\ k = 0, \ldots, M - q & \text{for } s = 1, \ldots, T-1 & \end{array} \right\}.$$

Let $q = \min\{p, -2l-1\}$ and set

$$F^{r,p}(u, v, n) = \begin{cases} u_pv - \sum_{m=1}^{|S|}\lambda_{q-m}u_{q-m}v & \text{if } r = 0, \\ \sum_{m=1}^{|S|}\lambda_{q-m}u_{q-m}v & \text{if } 1 \leq r \leq T-1, \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda_{q-m} \in \mathbb{C}$ $(m = 1, 2, \dots, |S|)$. We show that it is possible to choose a set $\{\lambda_{q-m}\}_{m=1}^{|S|}$ which depends only on $\mathrm{wt}(u), \mathrm{wt}(v)$ and n such that the the assertion holds. For $g \in G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m=1}^{|S|} \lambda_{q-m} u_{q-m} v \\
\equiv\ & \sum_{m=1}^{|S|} \lambda_{q-m} \Big(\sum_{k=0}^{Q_0-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{Q_0}{j} \binom{-Q_0}{k+m-j} u^{(g,0)}_{q+k} v \\
& + \sum_{s=1}^{T-1} \sum_{k=0}^{M-q} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{Q_s}{j} \binom{-Q_s}{k+m-j} u^{(g,s)}_{q+k} v \Big) \\
\equiv\ & \sum_{k=0}^{Q_0-1} \sum_{m=1}^{|S|} \lambda_{q-m} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{Q_0}{j} \binom{-Q_0}{k+m-j} u^{(g,0)}_{q+k} v \\
& + \sum_{s=1}^{T-1} \sum_{k=0}^{M-q} \sum_{m=1}^{|S|} \lambda_{q-m} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{Q_s}{j} \binom{-Q_s}{k+m-j} u^{(g,s)}_{q+k} v \quad \pmod{O_{g,n}(V)}
\end{aligned}$$

from Lemma 2. Comparing both sides of formula (2.3), we have $|S|$ linear equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bullet \text{ In the case } 1 \le r \le T-1, \\
&\textstyle\sum_{m=1}^{|S|} \lambda_{q-m} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{Q_s}{j}\binom{-Q_s}{k+m-j} \;=\; \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s = r \text{ and } q+k = p, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\
&\bullet \text{ In the case } r = 0, \\
&\textstyle\sum_{m=1}^{|S|} \lambda_{q-m} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{Q_s}{j}\binom{-Q_s}{k+m-j} \;=\; \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } s \neq 0 \text{ and } q+k = p, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Here (s,k) ranges over S. We denote $\sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{Q_s}{j}\binom{-Q_s}{k+m-j}$ by $\alpha_m^{s,k}$ for $(s,k) \in S$ and a positive integer m. We set a $|S| \times |S|$-matrix

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix}
\alpha_1^{0,0} & \cdots & \alpha_1^{0,Q_0-1} & \alpha_1^{1,0} & \cdots & \cdots & \alpha_1^{T-1,M-p} \\
\alpha_2^{0,0} & \cdots & \alpha_2^{0,Q_0-1} & \alpha_2^{1,0} & \cdots & \cdots & \alpha_1^{T-1,M-p} \\
\vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots \\
\alpha_{|S|}^{0,0} & \cdots & \alpha_{|S|}^{0,Q_0-1} & \alpha_{|S|}^{1,0} & \cdots & \cdots & \alpha_{|S|}^{T-1,M-p}
\end{bmatrix}.$$

It is sufficient to show that the matrix A_1 is non-singular in order to prove the equations (2.3) have a solution $\{\lambda_{q-m}\}_{m=1}^{|S|}$.

We set a $|S| \times |S|$-matrix

$$A_2 = \begin{bmatrix}
\binom{-Q_0}{1} & \cdots & \binom{-Q_0}{Q_0} & \binom{-Q_1}{1} & \cdots & \cdots & \binom{-Q_{T-1}}{M-q+1} \\
\binom{-Q_0}{2} & \cdots & \binom{-Q_0}{Q_0+1} & \binom{-Q_1}{2} & \cdots & \cdots & \binom{-Q_{T-1}}{M-q+2} \\
\vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & & \vdots \\
\binom{-Q_0}{|S|} & \cdots & \binom{-Q_0}{Q_0+|S|-1} & \binom{-Q_1}{|S|} & \cdots & \cdots & \binom{-Q_{T-1}}{M-q+|S|}
\end{bmatrix}.$$

Then $\det A_1 = \det A_2$ because

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\alpha_m^{s,0}, \alpha_m^{s,1} \dots, \alpha_m^{s,M-q}) \\
= \;& (\binom{-Q_s}{m}, \binom{-Q_s}{m+1}, \dots, \binom{-Q_s}{m+M-q}) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \binom{Q_s}{1} & \binom{Q_s}{2} & \cdots & \binom{Q_s}{M-q} \\ 0 & 1 & \binom{Q_s}{1} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \binom{Q_s}{2} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \binom{Q_s}{1} \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
\end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq s \leq T-1$ and a similar formula for $s = 0$. So it sufficients to show that the matrix A_2 is non-singular. The following computation is used in a transformation of A_2. For $(s,k) \in S$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \binom{Q_0}{m-1-j} \binom{-Q_s}{k+1+j} x^m \\
= \;& \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \binom{Q_0}{m-j} \binom{-Q_s}{k+1+j} x^{m+1} \\
= \;& \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \binom{Q_0}{j} \binom{-Q_s}{k+m+1-j} x^{m+1} \\
= \;& x^{-k}(1+x)^{Q_0}((1+x)^{-Q_s} - \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{-Q_s}{j} x^j) \\
= \;& x^{-k}(1+x)^{Q_0-Q_s} - \sum_{t=0}^{Q_0} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{Q_0}{t} \binom{-Q_s}{j} x^{t+j-k} \\
= \;& \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \binom{Q_0 - Q_s}{m} x^{m-k} - \sum_{m=0}^{Q_0+k} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{Q_0}{m-j} \binom{-Q_s}{j} x^{m-k} \\
= \;& \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \binom{Q_0 - Q_s}{m+k} x^m - \sum_{m=1}^{Q_0} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{Q_0}{k+m-j} \binom{-Q_s}{j} x^m.
\end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \binom{Q_0}{1} & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \binom{Q_0}{|S|-1} & \cdots & \binom{Q_0}{1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \binom{-Q_0}{1} & \binom{-Q_0}{2} & \cdots & \binom{-Q_0}{Q_0} \\ \binom{-Q_0}{2} & \binom{-Q_0}{3} & \cdots & \binom{-Q_0}{Q_0+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \binom{-Q_0}{|S|} & \binom{-Q_0}{|S|+1} & \cdots & \binom{-Q_0}{Q_0+|S|-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \; - \left[\begin{array}{cccc} \binom{Q_0}{1} & \cdots & \binom{Q_0}{Q_0-1} & 1 \\ \vdots & \iddots & \iddots & 0 \\ \binom{Q_0}{Q_0-1} & \iddots & \iddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \end{array}\right] \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \binom{Q_0}{1} & \cdots & \binom{Q_0}{Q_0-1} \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \binom{Q_0}{1} \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \tag{2.5}$$

and for $1 \leq s \leq T-1$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \binom{Q_0}{1} & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ \binom{Q_0}{|S|-1} & \cdots & \binom{Q_0}{1} & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \binom{-Q_s}{1} & \binom{-Q_s}{2} & \cdots & \binom{-Q_s}{M-p+1} \\ \binom{-Q_s}{2} & \binom{-Q_s}{3} & \cdots & \binom{-Q_s}{M-p+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \binom{-Q_s}{|S|} & \binom{-Q_s}{|S|+1} & \cdots & \binom{-Q_s}{M-p+|S|} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \left[\begin{array}{cccc} y_{10} & y_{11} & \cdots & y_{1,M-p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ y_{Q_0,0} & y_{Q_0,1} & \cdots & y_{Q_0,M-p} \\ \hline \binom{Q_0-Q_s}{Q_0+1} & \binom{Q_0-Q_s}{Q_0+2} & \cdots & \binom{Q_0-Q_s}{Q_0+M-p+1} \\ \binom{Q_0-Q_s}{Q_0+2} & \binom{Q_0-Q_s}{Q_0+3} & \cdots & \binom{Q_0-Q_s}{Q_0+M-p+2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \binom{Q_0-Q_s}{|S|} & \binom{Q_0-Q_s}{|S|+1} & \cdots & \binom{Q_0-Q_s}{M-p+|S|} \end{array}\right] \tag{2.6}$$

where $y_{m,k}$ denotes $\binom{Q_0-Q_s}{m+k} - \sum_{j=0}^{k} \binom{Q_0}{m+k-j}\binom{-Q_s}{j}$ for $1 \leq m \leq Q_0$ and $0 \leq k \leq M-p$. We hence have $\det A_2 = (-1)^{Q_0(Q_0+1)/2} \det A_3$, where A_3 is the following $(|S|-Q_0) \times (|S|-Q_0)$-matrix:

$$A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} \binom{Q_0-Q_1}{Q_0+1} & \cdots & \binom{Q_0-Q_1}{Q_0+M-p+1} & \binom{Q_0-Q_2}{Q_0+1} & \cdots & \binom{Q_0-Q_{T-1}}{Q_0+M-p+1} \\ \binom{Q_0-Q_1}{Q_0+2} & \cdots & \binom{Q_0-Q_1}{Q_0+M-p+2} & \binom{Q_0-Q_2}{Q_0+2} & \cdots & \binom{Q_0-Q_{T-1}}{Q_0+M-p+2} \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \binom{Q_0-Q_1}{|S|} & \cdots & \binom{Q_0-Q_1}{M-p+|S|} & \binom{Q_0-Q_2}{|S|} & \cdots & \binom{Q_0-Q_{T-1}}{M-p+|S|} \end{bmatrix}. \tag{2.7}$$

It is proved in Appendix that A_3 is non-singular. □

Lemma 4 *Let V be simple and let $g, h \in G$ with $g \neq h$. Then $O_{g,n}(V) + O_{h,n}(V) = V$.*

Proof. For $g, h \in G$ with $g \neq h$, there are $k, r \in \{0, \ldots, T-1\}$ with $k \neq r$ and $v \in V$ such that $0 \neq v \in V^{(g,k)}$ and $v^{(h,r)} \neq 0$. For any $u \in V$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, consider $F^{-r,p}(u, v, n)$ in Lemma 3. Then

$$F^{-r,p}(u,v,n) \equiv u_p^{(g,-r)} v \equiv 0 \pmod{O_{g,n}(V)}$$

from Lemma 1 (1) because $u_p^{(g,-r)} v \in V^{(g,k-r)}$ and $k - r \not\equiv 0 \pmod{T}$. We also have

$$\begin{aligned} F^{-r,p}(u,v,n) &\equiv u_p^{(h,-r)} v \\ &\equiv u_p^{(h,-r)} v^{(h,r)} \\ &\equiv u_p v^{(h,r)} \pmod{O_{h,n}(V)}. \end{aligned}$$

We hence have $u_p v^{(h,r)} \in O_{g,n}(V) + O_{h,n}(V)$ for any $u \in V$ and $p \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $v^{(h,r)} \neq 0$ and V is simple, $V = \mathrm{Span}\{u_p v^{(h,r)} \mid u \in V, p \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ from Proposition 4.1 in [6]. So $O_{g,n}(V) + O_{h,n}(V) = V$ holds. □

Now we start to prove Theorem 1. Let $u, v \in V$ and fix $M \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $M \geq \mathrm{wt}(u) + \mathrm{wt}(v) - 1$. Define

$$u *_n v \;=\; \sum_{k=0}^{M+2l+1} \sum_{j=0}^{k} (-1)^{l-j} \binom{2l-j}{l} \binom{\mathrm{wt}(u)+l}{k-j} F^{-2l-1+k,0}(u,v,n).$$

We have $u *_n v \equiv u *_{g,n} v \pmod{O_{g,n}(V)}$ for any $g \in G$ from Lemma 3. If V is simple, then we have $A_{G,n}(V) \simeq \oplus_{g \in G} A_{g,n}(V)$ as algebras using the Chinese remainder theorem and Lemma 4. So (1) holds. (2) is clear from (1) and Lemma 1. □

3 A duality theorem of Schur-Weyl type

We always assume that V is simple throughout this section. A finite dimensional semisimple associative algebra $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$ over $\mathbb{C}$ associated to G, a finite right G-set $\mathcal{S}$ and a suitable 2-cocycle α is constructed in [7]. $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$ is called the generalized twisted double there. In this section we first review its construction in the case that $\mathcal{S}$ is a finite G-stable set of inequivalent irreducible twisted V-modules and a 2-cocycle α naturally determined by the G-action on $\mathcal{S}$. We will show a duality theorem of Schur-Weyl type for the actions of V^G and $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$ on $\mathcal{M} = \oplus_{(g,M) \in \mathcal{S}} M$. That is, each simple $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G, \mathcal{S})$ occurs in $\mathcal{M}$ and its multiplicity space is an irreducible V^G-module. Moreover, the different multiplicity spaces are inequivalent V^G-modules. It follows from this result that for any $g \in G$ every irreducible g-twisted module is a completely reducible V^G-module. These results are already shown in the case $g = 1$ in [7] and in the case where g is in the center of G in [10].

Let $\mathcal{T}_g$ be the set of all inequivalent irreducible g-twisted V-modules for $g \in G$ and set $\mathcal{T} = \{(g, M) \mid g \in G, M \in \mathcal{T}_g\}$. There is a natural right G-action on $\mathcal{T}$. Namely, for an irreducible g-twisted V-module (M, Y_M) and $a \in G$, we define

$$(M, Y_M) \cdot a = (M \cdot a, Y_{M \cdot a}).$$

Here $M \cdot a = M$ as a vector space and $Y_{M \cdot a}(u, z)$ is defined by

$$Y_{M \cdot a}(u, z) = Y_M(au, z) \text{ for } u \in V.$$

Note that $M \cdot a$ is an irreducible $a^{-1}ga$-twisted V-module. We set $(g, M) \cdot a = (a^{-1}ga, M \cdot a)$ for all $(g, M) \in \mathcal{T}$.

A subset $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathcal{T}$ is called *stable* if for any $(g, M) \in \mathcal{S}$ and $a \in G$ there exists $(a^{-1}ga, N) \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $M \circ a \simeq N$ as $a^{-1}ga$-twisted V-modules.

We assume that $\mathcal{S}$ is a finite G-stable subset of $\mathcal{T}$ until the end of this section. Let $(g, M) \in \mathcal{S}$ and $a \in G$. Then there exists $(aga^{-1}, N) \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $N \cdot a \simeq M$ as g-twisted V-modules. That is, there is an isomorphism $\phi(a, (g, M)) : M \to N$ of vector spaces such that

$$\phi(a, (g, M)) Y_{(g,M)}(u, z) = Y_{(aga^{-1}, N)}(au, z) \phi(a, (g, M))$$

for all $u \in V$. By the simplicity of M, there exists $\alpha_{(g,M)}(a, b) \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\phi(a, (bgb^{-1}, M \cdot b^{-1})) \phi(b, (g, M)) = \alpha_{(g,M)}(a, b) \phi(ab, (g, M)).$$

Moreover, for $a, b, c \in G$ and $(g, M) \in \mathcal{S}$ we have

$$\alpha_{(cgc^{-1}, M \cdot c^{-1})}(a, b) \alpha_{(g,M)}(ab, c) = \alpha_{(g,M)}(ab, c) \alpha_{(g,M)}(b, c).$$

Define a vector space $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{S} = \bigoplus_{(g,M) \in \mathcal{S}} \mathbb{C} e(g, M)$ with a basis $e(g, M)$ for $(g, M) \in \mathcal{S}$. The space $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{S}$ is an associative algebra under the product $e(g, M) e(h, N) = \delta_{(g,M),(h,N)}(h, N)$. Let $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{S}) = \{\sum_{(g,M) \in \mathcal{S}} \lambda_{(g,M)} e(g, M) \mid \lambda_{(g,M)} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}\}$ be the set of unit elements on $\mathbb{C}\mathcal{S}$ where $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$ is the multiplicative group of $\mathbb{C}$. $\mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{S})$ is a multiplicative right G-module by the action $(\sum_{(g,M) \in \mathcal{S}} \lambda_{(g,M)} e(g, M)) \cdot a = \sum_{(g,M) \in \mathcal{S}} \lambda_{(g,M)} e(a^{-1}ga, M \cdot a)$ for $a \in G$. Set $\alpha(a, b) = \sum_{(g,M) \in \mathcal{S}} \alpha_{(g,M)}(a, b) e(g, M)$. Then

$$(\alpha(a, b) \cdot c) \alpha(ab, c) = \alpha(a, bc) \alpha(b, c)$$

holds for all $a, b, c \in G$. So $\alpha : G \times G \to \mathcal{U}(\mathbb{C}\mathcal{S})$ is a 2-cocycle.

Define the vector space $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}(G, \mathcal{S}) = \mathbb{C}[G] \otimes \mathbb{C}\mathcal{S}$ with a basis $a \otimes e(g, M)$ for $a \in G$ and $(g, M) \in \mathcal{S}$ and a multiplication on it:

$$a \otimes e(g, M) \cdot b \otimes e(h, N) = \alpha_{(h,N)}(a, b) ab \otimes e((g, M) \cdot b) e(h, N).$$

Then $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha}(G, \mathcal{S})$ is an associative algebra with the identity element $\sum_{(g,M) \in \mathcal{S}} 1 \otimes e(g, M)$.

We define an action of $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})$ on $\mathcal{M}=\bigoplus_{(g,M)\in\mathcal{S}} M$ as follows: For $(g,M),(h,N)\in\mathcal{S},\ w\in N,\ a\in G$ we set

$$a\otimes e(g,M)\cdot w \;=\; \delta_{(g,M),(h,N)}\phi(a,(g,M))w.$$

Note that the actions of $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})$ and V^G on $\mathcal{M}$ commute with each other.

For each $(g,M)\in\mathcal{S}$ set $G_{(g,M)}=\{a\in C_G(g)\mid M\cdot a\simeq M$ as g-twisted V-modules$\}$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{(g,M)}$ be the orbit of (g,M) under the action of G and let $G=\cup_{j=1}^k G_{(g,M)}g_j$ be a right coset decomposition with $g_1=1$. Then $\mathcal{O}_{(g,M)}=\{(g,M)\cdot g_j\mid j=1,\dots,k\}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{(g,M)\cdot g_j}=g_j^{-1}G_{(g,M)}g_j$. We define several subspaces of $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})$ by:

$$\begin{aligned}S(g,M) &= \mathrm{Span}\{a\otimes e(g,M)\mid a\in G_{(g,M)}\},\\ D(g,M) &= \mathrm{Span}\{a\otimes e(g,M)\mid a\in G\}\text{ and}\\ D(\mathcal{O}_{(g,M)}) &= \mathrm{Span}\{a\otimes e(g,M)\cdot g_j\mid j=1,\dots,k,a\in G\}.\end{aligned}$$

Decompose $\mathcal{S}$ into a disjoint union of orbits $\mathcal{S}=\cup_{j\in J}\mathcal{O}_j$. Let (g_j,M^j) be a representative elements of $\mathcal{O}_j$. Then $\mathcal{O}_j=\{(g_j,M^j)\cdot a\mid a\in G\}$ and $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})=\bigoplus_{j\in J}D(\mathcal{O}_{(g_j,M^j)})$. We recall the following properties of $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})$.

Lemma 5 ([7], Lemma 3.4) *Let $(g,M)\in\mathcal{S}$ and $G=\cup_{j=1}^k G_{(g,M)}g_j$. Then*

(1) $S(g,M)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_{(g,M)}}[G_{(g,M)}]$ where $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_{(g,M)}}[G_{(g,M)}]$ is the twisted group algebra with 2-cocycle $\alpha_{(g,M)}$.

(2) $D(\mathcal{O}_{(g,M)})=\oplus_{j=1}^k D((g,M)\cdot g_j)$ is a direct sum of left ideals.

(3) Each $D(\mathcal{O}_{(g,M)})$ is a two sided ideal of $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})$ and $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})=\oplus_{j\in J}D(\mathcal{O}_{(g_j,M^j)})$. Moreover, $D(\mathcal{O}_{(g,M)})$ has the identity element $\sum_{(h,N)\in\mathcal{O}_{(g,M)}}1\otimes e(h,N)$.

Lemma 6 ([7], Theorem 3.6)

(1) $D(\mathcal{O}_{(g,M)})$ is semisimple for all $(g,M)\in\mathcal{S}$ and the simple $D(\mathcal{O}_{(g,M)})$-modules are precisely equal to $\mathrm{Ind}_{S(g,M)}^{D(g,M)}W=D(g,M)\otimes_{S(g,M)}W$ where W ranges over the simple $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_{(g,M)}}[G_{(g,M)}]$-modules.

(2) $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})$ is semisimple and simple $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})$-modules are precisely $\mathrm{Ind}_{S(g_j,M^j)}^{D(g_j,M^j)}W$ where W ranges over the simple $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_{(g_j,M^j)}}[G_{(g_j,M^j)}]$-modules and $j\in J$.

Let $(g,M)\in\mathcal{T}$. Following [11] we define weight zero operator $o_M(u)$ by $u_{\mathrm{wt}(u)-1}$ on M for homogeneous $u\in V$ and extend $o_M(u)$ to all u by linearity. For any nonnegative rational number $n\in\mathbb{Z}/T$, define a map σ_n from $A_{G,n}(V)$ to $\bigoplus\limits_{(g,M)\in\mathcal{S}}\bigoplus\limits_{\substack{m\in\mathbb{Z}/T\\0\le m\le n}}\mathrm{End}M(m)$ by $\sigma_n(u)=\sum_{(g,M)\in\mathcal{S}}\sum_{\substack{m\in\mathbb{Z}/T\\0\le m\le n}}o(u)_M$. For $a\in G$ and $f\in\mathrm{End}(M)$, define the action of a by

$a \cdot f = \phi(a, (g, M)) f \phi(a, (g, M))^{-1} \in \mathrm{End}(M \cdot a^{-1})$. This defines a left action of G on $\bigoplus_{(g,M)\in\mathcal{S}} \bigoplus_{\substack{m\in\mathbb{Z}/T\\0\le m\le n}} \mathrm{End}M(m)$.

We prepare the following results in order to show the main result in this section. For any $(g, M) \in \mathcal{S}$, we always arrange the grading on $M = \oplus_{0\le j\in\mathbb{Z}/T} M(j)$ so that $M(0) \neq 0$ if $M \neq 0$ using a grading shift.

Lemma 7 *Let $(g, M), (h, N) \in \mathcal{S}$. Let $m \in \mathbb{Z}/|g|, n \in \mathbb{Z}/|h|$ such that $m \le n$. If $M(m) \neq 0$ and $M(m) \simeq N(n)$ as $A_{G,n}(V)$-modules, then $(g, M) = (h, N)$ and $m = n$.*

Proof. Since $M(m) \neq 0$ and $M(m) \simeq N(n)$ as $A_{G,n}(V)$-modules, we have $g = h$ from Theorem 1. So $M(m) \simeq N(n)$ as $A_{g,n}(V)$-modules. We have $m = n$ and $M = N$ by Theorem 4.3 in [5]. □

Lemma 8 *The map σ_n is a G-module epimorphism. In particular,*

$$\sigma_n(A_{G,n}(V)^G) = (\bigoplus_{(g,M)\in\mathcal{S}} \bigoplus_{\substack{m\in\mathbb{Z}/T\\0\le m\le n}} \mathrm{End}M(m))^G.$$

Proof. The proof is similar to that of in Lemma 6.12 in [7] because of Lemma 7. □

For $(g, M) \in \mathcal{S}$ let $\Lambda_{G_{(g,M)},\alpha_{(g,M)}}$ be the set of all irreducible characters λ of $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_{(g,M)}}[G_{(g,M)}]$. We denote the corresponding simple module by $W(g, M)_\lambda$. Note that M is a semisimple $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_{(g,M)}}[G_{(g,M)}]$-module. Let M^λ be the sum of simple $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_{(g,M)}}[G_{(g,M)}]$-module of M isomorphic to $W(g, M)_\lambda$. Then $M = \oplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda_{G_{(g,M)},\alpha_{(g,M)}}} M^\lambda$. Moreover $M^\lambda = W(g, M)_\lambda \otimes M_\lambda$ where $M_\lambda = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_{(g,M)}}[G_{(g,M)}]}(W(g, M)_\lambda, M)$ is the multiplicity of $W(g, M)_\lambda$ in M. We can realize M_λ as a subspace of M in the following way: Let $w \in W(g, M)_\lambda$ be a fixed nonzero vector. Then we can identify $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_{(g,M)}}[G_{(g,M)}]}(W(g, M)_\lambda, M)$ with the subspace

$$\{f(w) \mid f \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_{(g,M)}}[G_{(g,M)}]}(W(g, M)_\lambda, M)\},$$

of M^λ. Note that the actions of $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_{(g,M)}}[G_{(g,M)}]$ and $V^{G_{(g,M)}}$ on M commute with each other. So M^λ and M_λ are ordinary $V^{G_{(g,M)}}$-modules. Furthermore, M^λ and M_λ are ordinary V^G-modules. It is shown by Theorem 3.9 in [10] that M_λ is a nonzero irreducible $V^{G_{(g,M)}}$-module for any $\lambda \in \Lambda_{G_{(g,M)},\alpha_{(g,M)}}$.

Let $\mathcal{S} = \cup_{j\in J} \mathcal{O}_j$ be an orbit decomposition and fix $(g_j, M^j) \in \mathcal{O}_j$ for each $j \in J$. For convenience, we set $G_j = G_{(g_j,M^j)}, \Lambda_j = \Lambda_{(g_j,M^j),\alpha_{(g_j,M^j)}}$ and $W_{j,\lambda} = W(g_j, M^j)_\lambda$ for $j \in J$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda_j$. We have a decomposition

$$M^j = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in\Lambda_j} W_{j,\lambda} \otimes M^j_\lambda,$$

as a $\mathbb{C}^{\alpha_{(g_j,M^j)}}[G_j]\otimes V^{G_j}$-module. We also have

$$\mathcal{M}=\bigoplus_{j\in J,\lambda\in\Lambda_j}\mathrm{Ind}_{S(g_j,M^j)}^{D(g_j,M^j)}W_{j,\lambda}\otimes M_\lambda^j.$$

as a $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})\otimes V^G$-module using the same arguments as those in Proposition 6.5 in [7].

For $j\in J$ and $\lambda\in\Lambda_j$ we set $W_\lambda^j=\mathrm{Ind}_{S(g_j,M^j)}^{D(g_j,M^j)}W_{j,\lambda}$. Then W_λ^j forms a complete list of simple $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})$-modules from Lemma 6. Now we have the main result in this section.

Theorem 2 *As a $\mathcal{A}_\alpha(G,\mathcal{S})\otimes V^G$-module,*

$$\mathcal{M}=\bigoplus_{j\in J,\lambda\in\Lambda_j}W_\lambda^j\otimes M_\lambda^j.$$

Moreover

(1) Each M_λ^j is a nonzero irreducible V^G-module.

(2) $M_{\lambda_1}^{j_1}$ and $M_{\lambda_2}^{j_2}$ are isomorphic V^G-module if and only if $j_1=j_2$ and $\lambda_1=\lambda_2$.

In particular, all irreducible g-twisted V-modules are completely irreducible V^G-modules.

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 6.13 in [7] because of Lemma 8.□

4 Appendix

In this appendix we prove the matrix A_3 in (2.7) is non-singular. Let a,b,t positive integers and $x_1,x_2,\dots,x_t$ indeterminants. Set a $bt\times bt$-matrix

$$A=\begin{bmatrix}\binom{x_1}{a} & \binom{x_1}{a+1} & \cdots & \binom{x_1}{a+b-1} & \binom{x_2}{a} & \cdots & \binom{x_2}{a+b-1} & \cdots & \binom{x_t}{a+b-1}\\ \binom{x_1}{a+1} & \binom{x_1}{a+2} & \cdots & \binom{x_1}{a+b} & \binom{x_2}{a+1} & \cdots & \binom{x_2}{a+b} & \cdots & \binom{x_t}{a+b}\\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots\\ \binom{x_1}{a+bt-1} & \binom{x_1}{a+bt} & \cdots & \binom{x_1}{a+bt+b-2} & \binom{x_2}{a+bt-1} & \cdots & \binom{x_2}{a+bt+b-2} & \cdots & \binom{x_t}{a+bt+b-2}\end{bmatrix}.$$

It is proved from the following result that the matrix A_3 is non-singular.

Proposition 9 *Let*

$$\begin{aligned}H(x_1,\dots,x_t) &= \prod_{i=1}^{t}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{b-1}(x_i+j)^{b-j}\prod_{j=0}^{a-1}(x_i-j)^b\prod_{j=1}^{b-1}(x_i-a+1-j)^{b-j}\right)\\ &\quad\times\prod_{1\le i<j\le t}\prod_{k=-b+1}^{b-1}(x_i-x_j+k)^{b-|k|}.\end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\det A = (-1)^{bt(bt-1)/2}\frac{H(x_1,\dots,x_t)}{H(a+tb-1,a+(t-1)b-1,\dots,a+b-1)}.$$

Proof. Since $\binom{x}{j} = x(x-1)\cdots(x-j+1)/j!$, $\prod_{j=0}^{a-1}(x_i-j)^b \prod_{j=1}^{b-1}(x_i-a+1-j)^{b-j}$ is a factor of $\det A$ for any $1 \le i \le t$. For any $1 \le i \le t$ and $0 \le k \le b-1$, set a $bt \times b$-matrix $B(i,k)$ by

$$B(i,k) \quad = \quad \left[\begin{array}{cccccc} \binom{x_i}{a} & \binom{x_i+1}{a+1} & \cdots & \binom{x_i+k}{a+k} & \binom{x_i+k}{a+k+1} & \cdots & \binom{x_i+k}{a+b-1} \\ \binom{x_i}{a+1} & \binom{x_i+1}{a+2} & \cdots & \binom{x_i+k}{a+k+1} & \binom{x_i+k}{a+k+2} & \cdots & \binom{x_i+k}{a+b} \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \binom{x_i}{a+bt-1} & \binom{x_i+1}{a+bt} & \cdots & \binom{x_i+k}{a+bt-1+k} & \binom{x_i+k}{a+bt+k} & \cdots & \binom{x_i+k}{a+bt+b-2} \end{array}\right].$$

For any $1 \le k \le b-1$, $\det A$ is equal to that of the $bt\times bt$-matrix $[B(1,k)\ B(2,k)\cdots B(t,k)]$ because $\binom{x}{j} + \binom{x}{j+1} = \binom{x+1}{j+1}$. So $(x_i+k)^{b-k}$ is a factor of $\det A$ for any $1 \le i \le t$ and $1 \le k \le b-1$. Fix any $1 \le i < j \le t$ and $0 \le k \le b-1$. Then $\det A$ is equal to that of the $bt \times bt$-matrix

$$[B(1,0)\ \cdots\ B(i,0)\ \cdots\ B(j,k)\ \cdots\ B(t,0)]$$

by the same reason as above. Comparing the $(t(i-1)+p)$-th column and the $(t(j-1)+p)$-th column for all $k+1 \le p \le b$, we have $(x_i-x_j-k)^{b-k}$ is a factor of $\det A$. We also have that $(x_i-x_j+k)^{b-k}$ is a factor of $\det A$ by applying the same argument to the matrix

$$[B(1,0)\ \cdots\ B(i,k)\ \cdots\ B(j,0)\ \cdots\ B(t,0)]\,.$$

So there is $\alpha(a,b) \in \mathbb{C}[x_1,\dots,x_t]$ such that

$$\det A \quad = \quad \alpha(a,b)H(x_1,\dots,x_n) \tag{4.1}$$

We have $\alpha(a,b) \in \mathbb{C}$ since the degrees of both sides in formula (4.1) are equal to $(a-1)bt+b^2t(t+1)/2$. Substituting $(a+tb-1, a+(t-1)b-1,\dots,a+b-1)$ for $(x_1,x_2,\dots,x_t)$ in A, we have an anti-diagonal matrix with all anti-diagonal elements 1. Hence $\alpha(a,b) = (-1)^{bt(bt-1)/2}/H(a+tb-1, a+(t-1)b-1,\dots,a+b-1)$. □

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Soichi Okada for information of a method to compute the determinant of the matrix in Appendix.

References

[1] R. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras, and the Monster, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **83** (1986), 3068–3071.

[2] R. Dijkgraaf, C. Vafa, E. Verlinde, and H. Verlinde, The operator algebra of orbifold models, Comm. Math. Phys. **123** (1989), 485–526.

[3] C. Dong, H. Li, and G. Mason, Twisted representations of vertex operator algebras, Math. Ann. **310** (1998), 571–600.

[4] C. Dong, H. Li, and G. Mason, Vertex operator algebras and associative algebras, J. Algebra **206** (1998), 67–96.

[5] C. Dong, H. Li, and G. Mason, Twisted representations of vertex operator algebras and associative algebras, Internat. Math. Res. Notices **8** (1998), 389–397.

[6] C. Dong and G. Mason, On quantum Galois theory, Duke Math. J. **86** (1997), 305–321.

[7] C. Dong and G. Yamskulna, Vertex operator algebras, generalized doubles and dual pairs, preprint, math.QA/0006005.

[8] I. Frenkel, Y. Huang, and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operator algebras and modules, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1993).

[9] I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky, and A. Meurman, Vertex operator algebras and the Monster, Pure and Applied Mathematics, **134**, Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1988.

[10] G. Yamskulna, Vertex Operator Algebras and Dual Pairs, Ph. D. thesis, Universty of California at Santa Cruz, 2001.

[11] Y. Zhu, Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **9** (1996), 237–302.